

On behaviour of Christoffel function for convex domains

Andriy Prymak

(University of Manitoba)

May 10, 2017

For a compact set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with non-empty interior and a positive weight function $w \in L_1(D)$, the associated **Christoffel function** is defined as

$$\lambda_n(D, w, \mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(\mathbf{x})^2 \right)^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_{n,d}$ is the space of all real algebraic polynomials of total degree $\leq n$ in d variables, and $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{P}_n w.r.t. $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_D f(\mathbf{y})g(\mathbf{y})w(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}$.

For a compact set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with non-empty interior and a positive weight function $w \in L_1(D)$, the associated **Christoffel function** is defined as

$$\lambda_n(D, w, \mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(\mathbf{x})^2 \right)^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_{n,d}$ is the space of all real algebraic polynomials of total degree $\leq n$ in d variables, and $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{P}_n w.r.t. $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_D f(\mathbf{y})g(\mathbf{y})w(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}$.

Equivalently, the Christoffel function can be defined through the following **extremal property**:

$$\lambda_n(D, w, \mathbf{x}) = \min_{f \in \mathcal{P}_n, f(\mathbf{x})=1} \int_D f^2(\mathbf{y})w(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D.$$

For a compact set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with non-empty interior and a positive weight function $w \in L_1(D)$, the associated **Christoffel function** is defined as

$$\lambda_n(D, w, \mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^N \varphi_k(\mathbf{x})^2 \right)^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}_{n,d}$ is the space of all real algebraic polynomials of total degree $\leq n$ in d variables, and $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{P}_n w.r.t. $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_D f(\mathbf{y})g(\mathbf{y})w(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}$.

Equivalently, the Christoffel function can be defined through the following **extremal property**:

$$\lambda_n(D, w, \mathbf{x}) = \min_{f \in \mathcal{P}_n, f(\mathbf{x})=1} \int_D f^2(\mathbf{y})w(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D.$$

If $w \equiv 1$ is the uniform weight, we will write $\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) = \lambda_n(D, w, \mathbf{x})$.

Th. (Bos, Della Vecchia, Mastroianni, 1998) For centrally symmetric positive continuous weight w on the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(B^d, w, \mathbf{x}) \binom{n+d}{d} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}} w(\mathbf{x}) \sqrt{1-|\mathbf{x}|^2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}, \quad |\mathbf{x}| < 1,$$

where $B^d = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{x}| \leq 1\}$.

Th. (Bos, Della Vecchia, Mastroianni, 1998) For centrally symmetric positive continuous weight w on the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(B^d, w, \mathbf{x}) \binom{n+d}{d} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}} w(\mathbf{x}) \sqrt{1-|\mathbf{x}|^2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}, \quad |\mathbf{x}| < 1,$$

where $B^d = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{x}| \leq 1\}$.

This is an example of a typical result on computation of [asymptotics](#) of Christoffel function, which usually establishes that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^d \lambda_n(D, w, \mathbf{x}) = \rho(D, w, \mathbf{x})$$

and explicitly computes the limit function $\rho(D, w, \mathbf{x})$ at interior points $\mathbf{x} \in D$.

Th. (Bos, Della Vecchia, Mastroianni, 1998) For centrally symmetric positive continuous weight w on the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(B^d, w, \mathbf{x}) \binom{n+d}{d} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}} w(\mathbf{x}) \sqrt{1-|\mathbf{x}|^2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}, \quad |\mathbf{x}| < 1,$$

where $B^d = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{x}| \leq 1\}$.

This is an example of a typical result on computation of [asymptotics](#) of Christoffel function, which usually establishes that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^d \lambda_n(D, w, \mathbf{x}) = \rho(D, w, \mathbf{x})$$

and explicitly computes the limit function $\rho(D, w, \mathbf{x})$ at interior points $\mathbf{x} \in D$.

It is crucial to know [structure of orthonormal polynomials](#) for results of this type. Unfortunately, we know almost nothing for domains admitting any generality.

Th. (Kroo, 2015) If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a starlike C^α domain, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, w is positive and continuous on K , then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^d \lambda_n(K, w, \mathbf{x}) \geq c(K, \alpha) w(\mathbf{x}) (1 - \varphi_K(\mathbf{x}))^{\gamma(\alpha, d)}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in K \setminus \partial K,$$

where

$$\varphi_K(\mathbf{x}) := \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mu} \in K \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(\alpha, d) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(d-1)(2-\alpha)}{2\alpha}.$$

Th. (Kroo, 2015) If $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a starlike C^α domain, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, w is positive and continuous on K , then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^d \lambda_n(K, w, \mathbf{x}) \geq c(K, \alpha) w(\mathbf{x}) (1 - \varphi_K(\mathbf{x}))^{\gamma(\alpha, d)}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in K \setminus \partial K,$$

where

$$\varphi_K(\mathbf{x}) := \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mu} \in K \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(\alpha, d) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(d-1)(2-\alpha)}{2\alpha}.$$

Th. (Kroo, 2015) If $1 < \alpha < 2$, for any $\mathbf{z} = (t, 0, \dots, 0) \in B_\alpha^d$, $t \in [1/2, 1]$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{\log n} \right)^d \lambda_n(B_\alpha^d, w, \mathbf{z}) \leq c(d, \alpha) w(\mathbf{z}) (1 - \varphi_K(\mathbf{z}))^{\gamma(\alpha, d)},$$

where

$$B_\alpha^d := \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) : |x_1|^\alpha + \dots + |x_d|^\alpha \leq 1\}.$$

We focus on **behaviour** of Christoffel function rather than its **asymptotics**.

We focus on **behaviour** of Christoffel function rather than its **asymptotics**. For example, it was established by **Mastroianni and Totik (2000)** that for doubling weights w on $[-1, 1]$ (in particular, for $w \equiv 1$) and any $x \in [-1, 1]$

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_{I_x} w(t) dt \leq \lambda_n([-1, 1], w, x) \leq c \int_{I_x} w(t) dt,$$

where

$$I_x = [x - \rho_n(x), x + \rho_n(x)] \cap [-1, 1], \quad \rho_n(x) = n^{-2} + n^{-1} \sqrt{1 - x^2},$$

and c depends on the doubling constant of w .

We focus on **behaviour** of Christoffel function rather than its **asymptotics**. For example, it was established by **Mastroianni and Totik (2000)** that for doubling weights w on $[-1, 1]$ (in particular, for $w \equiv 1$) and any $x \in [-1, 1]$

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_{l_x} w(t) dt \leq \lambda_n([-1, 1], w, x) \leq c \int_{l_x} w(t) dt,$$

where

$$l_x = [x - \rho_n(x), x + \rho_n(x)] \cap [-1, 1], \quad \rho_n(x) = n^{-2} + n^{-1} \sqrt{1 - x^2},$$

and c depends on the doubling constant of w .

Behaviour can be used to compute $\min_{x \in D} \lambda_n(D, w, x)$, while asymptotics cannot be used.

We focus on **behaviour** of Christoffel function rather than its **asymptotics**. For example, it was established by **Mastroianni and Totik (2000)** that for doubling weights w on $[-1, 1]$ (in particular, for $w \equiv 1$) and any $x \in [-1, 1]$

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_{I_x} w(t) dt \leq \lambda_n([-1, 1], w, x) \leq c \int_{I_x} w(t) dt,$$

where

$$I_x = [x - \rho_n(x), x + \rho_n(x)] \cap [-1, 1], \quad \rho_n(x) = n^{-2} + n^{-1} \sqrt{1 - x^2},$$

and c depends on the doubling constant of w .

Behaviour can be used to compute $\min_{x \in D} \lambda_n(D, w, x)$, while asymptotics cannot be used.

Bounds on asymptotics for uniform weight transfer to any positive continuous weight by universality in the bulk results of **Kroo and Lubinsky (2013)**.

If D is a starlike body in \mathbb{R}^d , then for any point $\mathbf{x} \in D$

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \approx \lambda_n(D, \mu\mathbf{x}), \quad \mu \in [1 - c(d)n^{-2}, 1],$$

where $c(d) = 2^{-3-d/2}$ (constants in “ \approx ” are absolute).

If D is a starlike body in \mathbb{R}^d , then for any point $\mathbf{x} \in D$

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \approx \lambda_n(D, \mu\mathbf{x}), \quad \mu \in [1 - c(d)n^{-2}, 1],$$

where $c(d) = 2^{-3-d/2}$ (constants in “ \approx ” are absolute).

Behaviour for the ball:

$$\lambda_n(B^d, \mathbf{x}) \approx c(d, \sigma)n^{-d}\sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in (1 - \sigma n^{-2})B^d.$$

If D is a starlike body in \mathbb{R}^d , then for any point $\mathbf{x} \in D$

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \approx \lambda_n(D, \mu\mathbf{x}), \quad \mu \in [1 - c(d)n^{-2}, 1],$$

where $c(d) = 2^{-3-d/2}$ (constants in “ \approx ” are absolute).

Behaviour for the ball:

$$\lambda_n(B^d, \mathbf{x}) \approx c(d, \sigma)n^{-d} \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in (1 - \sigma n^{-2})B^d.$$

In particular,

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in B^d} \lambda_n(B^d, \mathbf{x}) \approx c(d)n^{-(d+1)}.$$

Recall that

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) = \min_{f \in \mathcal{P}_n, f(\mathbf{x})=1} \int_D f^2(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D.$$

Recall that

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) = \min_{f \in \mathcal{P}_n, f(\mathbf{x})=1} \int_D f^2(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D.$$

If $D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ then

$$\lambda_n(D_1, \mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda_n(D_2, \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in D_2. \quad (\text{comparison})$$

Recall that

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) = \min_{f \in \mathcal{P}_n, f(\mathbf{x})=1} \int_D f^2(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D.$$

If $D_1 \subset D_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ then

$$\lambda_n(D_1, \mathbf{x}) \leq \lambda_n(D_2, \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in D_2. \quad (\text{comparison})$$

For an affine transform $T\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 + A\mathbf{x}$ of \mathbb{R}^d , where $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and A is an $n \times n$ matrix, we will write $\det T = \det A$ and usually assume $\det T \neq 0$. We have

$$\lambda_n(TD, T\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) |\det T|, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D. \quad (\text{affine transform})$$

Th. (Ditzian, P., 2016) *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact set, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in [-1, 1]^d$, T be an affine transformation of \mathbb{R}^d such that $D \subset T([-1, 1]^d)$ and $T\mathbf{y} \in D$. Then*

$$\lambda_n(D, T\mathbf{y}) \leq c(d) |\det T| \rho_n(y_1) \rho_n(y_2) \cdots \rho_n(y_d).$$

Th. (Ditzian, P., 2016) *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact set, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in [-1, 1]^d$, T be an affine transformation of \mathbb{R}^d such that $D \subset T([-1, 1]^d)$ and $T\mathbf{y} \in D$. Then*

$$\lambda_n(D, T\mathbf{y}) \leq c(d) |\det T| \rho_n(y_1) \rho_n(y_2) \cdots \rho_n(y_d).$$

The corresponding polynomials are explicitly constructed.

Th. (Ditzian, P., 2016) Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact set, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in [-1, 1]^d$, T be an affine transformation of \mathbb{R}^d such that $D \subset T([-1, 1]^d)$ and $T\mathbf{y} \in D$. Then

$$\lambda_n(D, T\mathbf{y}) \leq c(d) |\det T| \rho_n(y_1) \rho_n(y_2) \dots \rho_n(y_d).$$

The corresponding polynomials are explicitly constructed.

To deduce

$$\lambda_n(B^d, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(d, \sigma) n^{-d} \sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in (1 - \sigma n^{-2})B^d,$$

choose T as identity and note rotation invariance, $\rho_n(0) = n^{-1}$, and that $\rho_n(x) \leq c(\sigma) n^{-1} \sqrt{1 - x^2}$ for $|x| < 1 - \sigma n^{-2}$.

In the other direction, the difficulty in showing

$$\lambda_n(B^d, \mathbf{x}) \geq c(d, \sigma)n^{-d}\sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in (1 - \sigma n^{-2})B^d,$$

is that for extremal polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}$ satisfying $P(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ and $\|P\|_{L_2(B^d)}^2 = \lambda_n(B^d, \mathbf{x})$ we do not know if $\|P\|_{L_\infty(B^d)}^2 = 1$.

In the other direction, the difficulty in showing

$$\lambda_n(B^d, \mathbf{x}) \geq c(d, \sigma)n^{-d}\sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in (1 - \sigma n^{-2})B^d,$$

is that for extremal polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}$ satisfying $P(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ and $\|P\|_{L_2(B^d)}^2 = \lambda_n(B^d, \mathbf{x})$ we do not know if $\|P\|_{L_\infty(B^d)}^2 = 1$. Yet, it is possible to show $\|P\|_{L_\infty((1-\delta/2)B^d)} \leq c(d)$ and then use Bernstein(-Markov)-type inequalities to find a neighborhood of \mathbf{x} of measure $c(d, \sigma)n^{-d}\sqrt{1 - |\mathbf{x}|^2}$ in which $P \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a convex body $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is contained in a ball of radius R . For any $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$, let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a unit vector such that

$$\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\} \leq \nu \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial D)$$

for some $\nu \geq 1$. If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(R, d, \nu, \sigma) n^{-d} \sqrt{\delta}.$$

Lemma. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a convex body $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is contained in a ball of radius R . For any $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$, let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a unit vector such that

$$\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\} \leq \nu \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial D)$$

for some $\nu \geq 1$. If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(R, d, \nu, \sigma) n^{-d} \sqrt{\delta}.$$

Idea: comparison with an appropriate cube containing D .

Lemma. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a convex body $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is contained in a ball of radius R . For any $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$, let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a unit vector such that

$$\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\} \leq \nu \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial D)$$

for some $\nu \geq 1$. If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(R, d, \nu, \sigma) n^{-d} \sqrt{\delta}.$$

Idea: comparison with an appropriate cube containing D .

A similar domain-independent bound in the other direction was proved by **Kroo (2015)** with the main term $n^{-d} \delta^{d/2}$.

In general, distance to the boundary by itself is not sensitive enough measurement. We also consider **size of the hyperplane section** in the orthogonal direction.

In general, distance to the boundary by itself is not sensitive enough measurement. We also consider **size of the hyperplane section** in the orthogonal direction.

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) *Suppose a planar convex body D is contained in a disc of radius R , and for some $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$ and unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there are $r > 0$ and $t_0 < 0$ such that $rB^2 + \mathbf{x} + t_0\mathbf{u} \subset D$. Let $\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\}$ and $l_i := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + (-1)^i t\mathbf{v} \in D\}$, $i = 1, 2$, where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then*

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(r, R, \sigma) n^{-2} \sqrt{\min\{l_1 l_2, \delta\}}.$$

In general, distance to the boundary by itself is not sensitive enough measurement. We also consider **size of the hyperplane section** in the orthogonal direction.

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) *Suppose a planar convex body D is contained in a disc of radius R , and for some $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$ and unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there are $r > 0$ and $t_0 < 0$ such that $rB^2 + \mathbf{x} + t_0\mathbf{u} \subset D$. Let $\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\}$ and $l_i := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + (-1)^i t\mathbf{v} \in D\}$, $i = 1, 2$, where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then*

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(r, R, \sigma) n^{-2} \sqrt{\min\{l_1 l_2, \delta\}}.$$

Idea: comparison with a properly chosen parallelogram containing D . The corresponding polynomial is constructed explicitly.

In general, distance to the boundary by itself is not sensitive enough measurement. We also consider **size of the hyperplane section** in the orthogonal direction.

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a planar convex body D is contained in a disc of radius R , and for some $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$ and unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there are $r > 0$ and $t_0 < 0$ such that $rB^2 + \mathbf{x} + t_0\mathbf{u} \subset D$. Let $\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\}$ and $l_i := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + (-1)^i t\mathbf{v} \in D\}$, $i = 1, 2$, where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(r, R, \sigma)n^{-2} \sqrt{\min\{l_1 l_2, \delta\}}.$$

Idea: comparison with a properly chosen parallelogram containing D . The corresponding polynomial is constructed explicitly.

Corollary: extra $(\log n)^2$ term can be removed in Kroo's upper bound on $\lambda_n(B_\alpha^2, \mathbf{z})$.

Th. (P., Usoltseva, ≥ 2017) Suppose D is a planar convex body, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a unit vector, and $\mathbf{y} \in \partial D$ is such that $\mathbf{y} - \beta\mathbf{u} \in D$. Let

$$l_i(\delta) := \max\{t : \mathbf{y} - \delta\mathbf{u} + (-1)^i t\mathbf{v} \in D\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad 0 < \delta < \beta,$$

where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{y} - \delta\mathbf{u}) \geq c(\beta, \sigma) n^{-2} \sqrt{\delta} \min_{i=1,2} \min_{\delta/2 \leq t \leq \beta} \frac{l_i(t)}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Th. (P., Usoltseva, ≥ 2017) Suppose D is a planar convex body, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a unit vector, and $\mathbf{y} \in \partial D$ is such that $\mathbf{y} - \beta\mathbf{u} \in D$. Let

$$l_i(\delta) := \max\{t : \mathbf{y} - \delta\mathbf{u} + (-1)^i t\mathbf{v} \in D\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad 0 < \delta < \beta,$$

where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{y} - \delta\mathbf{u}) \geq c(\beta, \sigma) n^{-2} \sqrt{\delta} \min_{i=1,2} \min_{\delta/2 \leq t \leq \beta} \frac{l_i(t)}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Idea: comparison with a properly chosen ellipse contained in D .

Th. (P., Usoltseva, ≥ 2017) Suppose D is a planar convex body, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a unit vector, and $\mathbf{y} \in \partial D$ is such that $\mathbf{y} - \beta \mathbf{u} \in D$. Let

$$l_i(\delta) := \max\{t : \mathbf{y} - \delta \mathbf{u} + (-1)^i t \mathbf{v} \in D\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad 0 < \delta < \beta,$$

where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{y} - \delta \mathbf{u}) \geq c(\beta, \sigma) n^{-2} \sqrt{\delta} \min_{i=1,2} \min_{\delta/2 \leq t \leq \beta} \frac{l_i(t)}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Idea: comparison with a properly chosen ellipse contained in D .

Corollary. (P., Usoltseva, ≥ 2017) Let $\mathbf{y} \in \partial B_\alpha^2$, $1 < \alpha < 2$, $0 < y_1 \leq y_2$, \mathbf{u} be the outward unit normal at \mathbf{y} , $\sigma n^{-2} < \delta < 1$, $\sigma > 0$. Then

$$\lambda_n(B_\alpha^2, \mathbf{y} - \delta \mathbf{u}) \approx c(\alpha, \sigma) n^{-2} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} (\max\{\delta, y_1^\alpha\})^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}}$$

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a planar convex body D is contained in a disc of radius R , and for some $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$ and unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there are $r > 0$ and $t_0 < 0$ such that $rB^2 + \mathbf{x} + t_0\mathbf{u} \subset D$. Let $\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\}$ and $l_i := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + (-1)^i t\mathbf{v} \in D\}$, $i = 1, 2$, where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(r, R, \sigma) n^{-2} \sqrt{\min\{l_1 l_2, \delta\}}.$$

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a planar convex body D is contained in a disc of radius R , and for some $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$ and unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there are $r > 0$ and $t_0 < 0$ such that $rB^2 + \mathbf{x} + t_0\mathbf{u} \subset D$. Let $\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\}$ and $l_i := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + (-1)^i t\mathbf{v} \in D\}$, $i = 1, 2$, where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(r, R, \sigma) n^{-2} \sqrt{\min\{l_1 l_2, \delta\}}.$$

This upper bound cannot be improved if only l_1 , l_2 , δ are measured.

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a planar convex body D is contained in a disc of radius R , and for some $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$ and unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there are $r > 0$ and $t_0 < 0$ such that $rB^2 + \mathbf{x} + t_0\mathbf{u} \subset D$. Let $\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\}$ and $l_i := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + (-1)^i t\mathbf{v} \in D\}$, $i = 1, 2$, where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(r, R, \sigma)n^{-2}\sqrt{\min\{l_1 l_2, \delta\}}.$$

This upper bound cannot be improved if only l_1 , l_2 , δ are measured.

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) For any positive l_1, l_2, δ with $10\delta < l_1, l_2 < \frac{1}{10}$, one can find a planar convex body $D \subset 4B^2$ and $\mathbf{x} \in D$ satisfying for $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{x}/|\mathbf{x}|$ that $B^2 = B^2 + \mathbf{x} - |\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{u} \subset D$, $\delta = \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\}$, $l_i = \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + (-1)^i t\mathbf{v} \in D\}$, $i = 1, 2$, where \mathbf{v} is one of the two unit vectors orthogonal to \mathbf{u} , and that for any n with $\delta > \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, the following inequality holds:

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \geq c(\sigma)n^{-2}\sqrt{\min\{l_1 l_2, \delta\}}.$$

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a convex body $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ contains a ball of radius r and is contained in a ball of radius R . For any $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$, let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a unit vector such that for some $\nu \geq 1$

$$\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\} \leq \nu \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial D)$$

and the hyperplane passing through $\mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{u}$ with normal vector \mathbf{u} is supporting to D . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(d, r, R, \nu, \sigma) n^{-d} \min\{\sqrt{\delta}, \delta^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \text{Vol}_{d-1}(\{\mathbf{y} \in D : (\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \perp \mathbf{u}\})\}.$$

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a convex body $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ contains a ball of radius r and is contained in a ball of radius R . For any $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$, let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a unit vector such that for some $\nu \geq 1$

$$\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\} \leq \nu \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial D)$$

and the hyperplane passing through $\mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{u}$ with normal vector \mathbf{u} is supporting to D . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(d, r, R, \nu, \sigma) n^{-d} \min\{\sqrt{\delta}, \delta^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \text{Vol}_{d-1}(\{\mathbf{y} \in D : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \perp \mathbf{u}\})\}.$$

Idea: comparison with a properly chosen parallelotope containing D defined using simplex of largest $(d - 1)$ volume contained in the hyperplane section $\{\mathbf{y} \in D : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \perp \mathbf{u}\}$.

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a convex body $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ contains a ball of radius r and is contained in a ball of radius R . For any $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$, let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a unit vector such that for some $\nu \geq 1$

$$\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\} \leq \nu \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial D)$$

and the hyperplane passing through $\mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{u}$ with normal vector \mathbf{u} is supporting to D . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(d, r, R, \nu, \sigma) n^{-d} \min\{\sqrt{\delta}, \delta^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \text{Vol}_{d-1}(\{\mathbf{y} \in D : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \perp \mathbf{u}\})\}.$$

Idea: comparison with a properly chosen parallelotope containing D defined using simplex of largest $(d - 1)$ volume contained in the hyperplane section $\{\mathbf{y} \in D : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \perp \mathbf{u}\}$.

Cannot be improved if only δ and $\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\{\mathbf{y} \in D : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \perp \mathbf{u}\})$ are used.

Th. (P., ≥ 2017) Suppose a convex body $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ contains a ball of radius r and is contained in a ball of radius R . For any $\mathbf{x} \in D \setminus \partial D$, let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a unit vector such that for some $\nu \geq 1$

$$\delta := \max\{t : \mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{u} \in D\} \leq \nu \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \partial D)$$

and the hyperplane passing through $\mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{u}$ with normal vector \mathbf{u} is supporting to D . If $\delta \geq \sigma n^{-2}$, $\sigma > 0$, then

$$\lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \leq c(d, r, R, \nu, \sigma) n^{-d} \min\{\sqrt{\delta}, \delta^{1-\frac{d}{2}} \text{Vol}_{d-1}(\{\mathbf{y} \in D : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \perp \mathbf{u}\})\}.$$

Idea: comparison with a properly chosen parallelotope containing D defined using simplex of largest $(d - 1)$ volume contained in the hyperplane section $\{\mathbf{y} \in D : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \perp \mathbf{u}\}$.

Cannot be improved if only δ and $\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\{\mathbf{y} \in D : (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \perp \mathbf{u}\})$ are used.

Corollary: extra $(\log n)^d$ term can be removed in Kroo's upper bound on $\lambda_n(B_\alpha^d, \mathbf{z})$.

Nikol'skii inequality: for $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ with $\mu = \mu(D) > 0$

$$\|P\|_{L_q(D)} \leq cn^{(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})\mu} \|P\|_{L_p(D)}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}.$$

For example, $\mu([-1, 1]^d) = 2^d$.

Nicol'skii inequality: for $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ with $\mu = \mu(D) > 0$

$$\|P\|_{L_q(D)} \leq cn^{(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})\mu} \|P\|_{L_p(D)}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}.$$

For example, $\mu([-1, 1]^d) = 2d$.

If $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in D} \lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \approx c(D)n^{-\mu}$, then the above Nicol'skii inequality holds with this μ .

Nikol'skii inequality: for $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ with $\mu = \mu(D) > 0$

$$\|P\|_{L_q(D)} \leq cn^{(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})\mu} \|P\|_{L_p(D)}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}.$$

For example, $\mu([-1, 1]^d) = 2d$.

If $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in D} \lambda_n(D, \mathbf{x}) \approx c(D)n^{-\mu}$, then the above Nikol'skii inequality holds with this μ .

$$\mu(B^d) = d + 1$$

$$\mu(B_\alpha^d) = d + 1, \quad 2 \leq \alpha < \infty$$

$$\mu(B_\alpha^d) = 2 + \frac{2}{\alpha}(d - 1), \quad 1 < \alpha < 2 \quad \text{(Ditzian, P., 2016)}$$

$$\mu(B_1^d) = \mu(B_\infty^d) = 2d$$

Half-ball $B_+^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in B^3 : x_3 \geq 0\}$ does not properly fall into C^α classification of Kroo.

Half-ball $B_+^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in B^3 : x_3 \geq 0\}$ does not properly fall into C^α classification of Kroo.

$\mu(B_+^3) = 5$ (Ditzian, P., 2016)

$\lambda_n(B_+^3, (1 - \delta, 0, \delta/4)) \approx c(\sigma)n^{-3}\delta$, $\sigma n^{-2} < \delta < 1/3$, $\sigma > 0$ (P., \geq 2017)

The hyperplane section for upper bound is anisotropic.

References:

- L. Bos, B. Della Vecchia, and G. Mastroianni, On the asymptotics of Christoffel functions for centrally symmetric weight functions on the ball in \mathbb{R}^d , Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory, Vol. I (1998), 277–290.
- A. Cohen, M. Davenport, D. Leviatan, On the Stability and Accuracy of Least Squares Approximations, Found. of Comp. Math., 13 (2013), 819–834.
- Z. Ditzian and A. Prymak, On Nikol'skii inequalities for domains in \mathbb{R}^d , Constr. Approx., 44 (2016), 23–51. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.5397>
- A. Kroó, Christoffel functions on convex and starlike domains in \mathbb{R}^d , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 421 (2015), no. 1, 718–729.
- A. Kroó and D. S. Lubinsky, Christoffel functions and universality in the bulk for multivariate orthogonal polynomials, Canad. J. Math. 65 (2013), no. 3, 600–620.
- G. Mastroianni and V. Totik, Weighted polynomial inequalities with doubling and A_∞ weights, Constr. Approx. 16 (2000), no. 1, 37–71.
- A. Prymak, Upper estimates of Christoffel function on convex domains. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.03025>
- Y. Xu, Asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials and Christoffel functions on a ball, Methods Appl. Anal. 3 (1996), no. 2, 257–272.